

# Relationship between statements

Selim Kaan Ozsoy, Middle East Technical University

## Logical Implication

Given two statements  $p$  and  $q$ , we say that  $p$  implies  $q$  and write  $p \Rightarrow q$  in the case that  $q$  is true in all cases that  $p$  is true; equivalently the statement  $p \rightarrow q$  is a tautology.

## Theorem

Let  $P, Q, R, S$  be statements, then:

1.  $((p \rightarrow q) \wedge p) \Rightarrow q$  (Modus Ponens)
2.  $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$  (Modus Tollens)
3.  $p \wedge q \Rightarrow p$
4.  $p \wedge q \Rightarrow q$
5.  $p \leftrightarrow q \Rightarrow q \rightarrow p$
6.  $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p) \Rightarrow p \leftrightarrow q$
7.  $q \Rightarrow p \vee q$
8.  $(p \vee q) \wedge \neg p \Rightarrow q$
9.  $(p \vee q) \wedge \neg q \Rightarrow p$
10.  $p \leftrightarrow q \Rightarrow p \rightarrow q$
11.  $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (R \rightarrow S) \wedge (p \vee R) \Rightarrow (q \vee S)$
12.  $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow R) \Rightarrow p \rightarrow R$  (Hypothetical Syllogism)

## Proof of $(p \vee q) \wedge \neg p \Rightarrow q$

We construct the truth table of the following statement:  $(p \vee q) \wedge \neg p \rightarrow q$

$p$	$q$	$p \vee q$	$\neg p$	$(p \vee q) \wedge \neg p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T	F	T
T	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	T	T

(We use truth tables to prove a tautology)

## Logical Equivalence

Given two statements  $P$  and  $Q$ , we say that  $P$  is equivalent to  $Q$  and we write  $P \Leftrightarrow Q$  in the case that  $P \leftrightarrow Q$  is a tautology.

## Theorem

Let  $P, Q, R$  be statements, Then:

- a)  $\neg(\neg p) \Leftrightarrow p$  (Double Negation)
- b)  $p \vee q \Leftrightarrow q \vee p$  (Commutative Law)
- c)  $p \wedge q \Leftrightarrow q \wedge p$  (Commutative Law)
- d)  $(p \vee q) \vee R \Leftrightarrow p \vee (q \vee R)$  (Associative Law)
- e)  $(p \wedge q) \wedge R \Leftrightarrow p \wedge (q \wedge R)$  (Associative Law)
- f)  $p \wedge (q \vee R) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge R)$  (Distributive Law)
- g)  $p \vee (q \wedge R) \Leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee R)$  (Distributive Law)
- h)  $p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow \neg p \vee q$  (Implication Law)
- i)  $p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$  (Contrapositive)
- j)  $p \Leftrightarrow q \Leftrightarrow q \Leftrightarrow p$
- k)  $p \Leftrightarrow q \Leftrightarrow (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$
- l)  $\neg(p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow \neg p \vee \neg q$  (De Morgan's Law)
- m)  $\neg(p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow \neg p \wedge \neg q$  (De Morgan's Law)
- n)  $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow p \wedge \neg q$
- o)  $\neg(p \leftrightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge \neg p)$

### Proof of $(p \rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow \neg p \vee q$

We have to construct a truth table to prove that it is a tautology. The statement is:  $(p \rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p \vee q)$

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg p \vee q$	$(p \rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p \vee q)$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T

Hence,  $(p \rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow \neg p \vee q$  is a tautology.

### Proof of part (n) without truth tables

We want to show:  $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow p \wedge \neg q$

$$\begin{aligned} \neg(p \rightarrow q) &\Leftrightarrow \neg(\neg p \vee q) && \text{(by h: } p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow \neg p \vee q) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \neg(\neg p) \wedge \neg q && \text{(by m: De Morgan's)} \\ &\Leftrightarrow p \wedge \neg q && \text{(by a: Double Negation)} \end{aligned}$$

## Variations of Conditional Statement

Given a statement of the form  $p \rightarrow q$ , the statement:

- $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$  is called the **contrapositive** of  $p \rightarrow q$ .
- $q \rightarrow p$  is called the **converse** of  $p \rightarrow q$ .
- $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$  is called the **inverse** of  $p \rightarrow q$ .

## Example

Show that:  $(A \wedge B) \rightarrow Q \Leftrightarrow A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow Q)$

### 1st Method

By definition, we show that  $((A \wedge B) \rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow (A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow Q))$  is a tautology by constructing the truth table.

### 2nd Method

We can use the known equivalences between various statements to get the wanted equivalence.

$$\begin{aligned} (A \wedge B) \rightarrow Q &\Leftrightarrow \neg(A \wedge B) \vee Q && \text{(because } p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow \neg p \vee q) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\neg A \vee \neg B) \vee Q && \text{(because } \neg(p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow \neg p \vee \neg q) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \neg A \vee (\neg B \vee Q) && \text{(because } (p \vee q) \vee R \Leftrightarrow p \vee (q \vee R)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \neg A \vee (B \rightarrow Q) && \text{(because } \neg p \vee q \Leftrightarrow p \rightarrow q) \\ &\Leftrightarrow A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow Q) && \text{(because } \neg p \vee q \Leftrightarrow p \rightarrow q) \end{aligned}$$